1762



PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

	Application Number	09/847,308
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	May 3, 2001
FORM	First Named Inventor	Yasuyuki ARAI
. 5.1	Group Art Unit	1762
used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Examiner Name	M. Cleveland

Total Number of Pages in This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	gy	Attorney Docket Number	er	0756-2312	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment / Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s Extension of Time Reques Express Abandonment Re Information Disclosure Sta Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts Incomplete Application Response to Missing F under 37 CFR 1.52 or	t quest tement	(for an and prawing   Declara   Attorney   Licensia   Petition   Provision   Power of Change   Address   Termina   Reques   CD, Nu   Remarks	tion and Power of y ang-related Papers  to Convert to a anal Application of Attorney, Revocation of Correspondence al Disclaimer t for Refund mber of CD(s)  The Commissioner is fees required or credit any	hereby	After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosures 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 6. 6. 7. authorized to charge any additional syments to Deposit Account No. 50-	
2280 for the above identified docket number.						
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT  Eric J. Robinson, Reg. No. 38,285 Robinson Intellectual Property Law Office, P.C. PMB 955 Individual name 21010 Southbank Street Potomac Falls, VA 20165						
Signature	260					
Date	May 11, 2005					
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below.						
Type or printed name AdeleM Stamper						
Signature adulm Stampy Date May 11, 2005					May 11, 2005	

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Attorney Docket No. 0756-2312



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:	)	Group Art Unit: 1762	
Yasuyuki ARAI	)	Examiner: M. Cleveland	
Serial No. 09/847,308	)	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence	
Filed: May 3, 2001	)	being deposited with the United States Post Service with sufficient postage as First Class	
For: METHOD OF MANUFACTURING A	)	Mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 145 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on May 11, 200	
LIGHT EMITTING DEVICE	)		
		addin Stamper	

## **RESPONSE**

Honorable Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The Official Action mailed February 11, 2005, has been received and its contents carefully noted. This response is filed within three months of the mailing date of the Official Action and therefore is believed to be timely without extension of time. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully submits that this response is being timely filed.

The Applicant notes with appreciation the consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement filed on December 27, 2004.

Claims 1-45 are pending in the present application, of which claims 1-4, 21, 22 and 38 are independent. For the reasons set forth in detail below, all claims are believed to be in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration is requested.

Paragraph 5 of the Official Action <u>continues</u> to rejects claims 1-8 and 13-16 as obvious based on the combination of U.S. Patent No. 5,902,688 to Antoniadis et al. and U.S. Patent No. 6,049,167 to Onitsuka et al. The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection because the Official Action has not made a *prima facie* case of obviousness.

As stated in MPEP §§ 2142-2143.01, to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or